



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/671,388	09/27/2000	Michael Ginsberg	MS150832.2	6789	
27195	7590 04/04/2006		EXAM	INER	
AMIN & TUROCY, LLP			ZIA, SYED		
24TH FLOOR	R, NATIONAL CITY CE	ENTER			
1900 EAST NINTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELANI	CLEVELAND, OH 44114			2131	
			D. TT. 14.11 ED. 04/04/000	,	

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

···			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/671,388	GINSBERG, MICHAEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Syed Zia	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See iion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/671,388

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to amendment filed on November 14, 2005. Original application contained Claims 1-20. Applicant previously amended Claims 1-3, 7-9, 10,12, and 15-17. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. Therefore, presently pending claims are 1-5, and 7-20.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1-5, and 7-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/671,388

Art Unit: 2131

V

1. Claims 1-5, and 7-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipate by Gupta (U.S. Patent No. 6,990,492).

2. As per claims 1, 10, and 12, Gupta teaches the claimed system or method for regulating access to a distributed computing platform (see Figure 7-9B), comprising:

a component that analyzes an application that requests access to the distributed computing platform, the component determines a level of access to the distributed computing platform and applies a trust level to the application corresponding to the determined level of access, and a component that compares the applied trust level of the application with a trust level of a module called by the application and regulates access of the application to the distributed computing platform based at lest in part upon the comparison (col. 9, line 65 to col.11 line 23).

3. Claims 2-9, 11, and 13-20 are rejected applied as above rejecting Claims 1, 10, and 12. Furthermore, Gupta teaches and describes controlled access mechanism, wherein:

As per claims 2 and 19, the component that analyzes the application inherently provides for inheritance of the trust level in the distributed computing environment (col.7 line 1 to line 30, and col.8 line 40 to line 67).

As per claims 3 and 13, the component that analyzes the application provide for marking the application with at least one of states: (1) fully trusted, (2) run restricted, and (3) fail to load, can be implemented by a person of ordinary in the art without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention since the authentication service in Anglin's system can perform this function (col, 7 line 64 to col.8 line 36).

As per claim 4, the component is stored in a ROM in the platform (Fig.6 col.8 line 29 to line 36, and col. 11 line 23 to line 34).

As per claim 5, the component is part of an operating system platform (Fig.6 col.8 line 29 to line 36, and col. 11 line 23 to line 34).

As per claim 7, the functionality of one or more Application Programming call Interface (API) calls, when called by the module, can also be selectively restricted depending on which authentication service is used to indicate the level of access or trust (col. 3, line 40 to line 63).

As per claims 8-9, and 16, wherein selectively restricting the functionality of one or more API calls includes restricting the functionality to read functions, and terminating the application (Fig.6, col. 9 line 15 to line 45).

As per claim 11, applying the trust level to one or more modules called by the application since the authentication service in Anglin is also used in a distributed computing environment (col. 6, line 48 to line 67).

As per claim 14, the trust level for the first module further comprises transmitting the first module to a verification program (co17, line 32 to col.8 line 35).

As per claim 15, wherein regulating access to the distributed computing platform comprises selectively abort calls made to one or more APIs (column 10, line 24 to line 36).

As per claims 17 and 18, for applying the trust level to regulate access to the platform (distributed computing environment) is stored in a ROM in the platform, and trust level may be inherited (Fig.6 and col.8 line 24 to line 36 and col.11 line 23 to line 34).

As per claim 20, trust level may be applied to one or more second modules called by the first module (col.6 line 10 to line 45).

Application/Control Number: 09/671,388 Page 5

Art Unit: 2131

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Syed Zia whose telephone number is 571-272-3798. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SZ

March 29, 2006